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2.1 Cross-sector collaboration

Cross-sector collaboration mechanism for joint prevention and control was established at central and local levels.
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2.2 MOA decided to temporarily manage the disease as Category I animal disease (the highest level).
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2.3 Enact Surveillance Plan for Animal H7N9 Avian Influenza Emergency” and “Epidemiology Investigation Plan for Animal H7N9 Avian Influenza Emergency”.
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2.4 Animal H7N9 Emergency Response Guideline

1. Cull infected flock, disinfect and restrict movement, close market once H7N9 positive samples were found, ;
2. Definition of infected flock
   - Farm – Same Building
   - LBM – Same market
   - Backyard – Same Household

So far, 561442 poultry have been culled and all from the LBMs.
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2.5 Supervision of live bird markets (LBMs)

- surveillance
- inspection and quarantine
- encourage slaughtering on site and consumption of frozen poultry products
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Closure of live bird markets
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2.6 Timely notification

- Timely notified OIE, FAO and other international organizations, relevant countries and regions;
- Timely release information to the public via media.
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2.7 International cooperation

- Joint field investigation with the OIE
2. Control measures

- FAO Emergency Center for Transboundary Animal Diseases (ECTAD) China Office
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3.1 Surveillance Areas

899,758 samples in 42107 sites

Core Surveillance Area 78.4%

Key Surveillance Area 9.7%

Ordinary Surveillance Area 11.9%
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3.2 Type of Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surveillance No.</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Positive No.</th>
<th>Positive Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serological</td>
<td>702369</td>
<td>78.06%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virological</td>
<td>197389</td>
<td>21.94%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.027%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 samples were found viruses and positive of antibody.
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Samples against species

- Environment: 19786
- Wild birds: Virological 9261, Serological 466
- Pigs: Virological 13301, Serological 10755
- Others: Virological 10937, Serological 16396
- Geese: Virological 4978, Serological 17332
- Duck: Virological 23353, Serological 90813
- Chicken: Virological 155773, Serological 566607
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3.3 Sampling Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBM</td>
<td>7236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry slaughterhouses</td>
<td>1181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry farms</td>
<td>30325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild birds and their habitats</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine farm and slaughterhouses</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>1840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42107 in total
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Sample number from different sites

- **Wild birds and their habitats**
  - Virological: 9261
  - Serological: 466

- **Swine slaughterhouses**
  - Virological: 971
  - Serological: 971

- **Swine farm**
  - Virological: 12330
  - Serological: 5867

- **Poultry slaughterhouses**
  - Virological: 11888
  - Serological: 23893

- **Others**
  - Virological: 18914
  - Serological: 49513

- **LBM**
  - Virological: 48245
  - Serological: 70337

- **Poultry farms**
  - Virological: 95780
  - Serological: 551322

Legend:
- Red: Virological
- Blue: Serological
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3.4 Positive samples from different sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Virological Positive No.</th>
<th>Serological Positive No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBM</td>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pigeon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Carrier Pigeon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.5 Other surveillance results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institute</th>
<th>Supplies to HK</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AQSIQ</td>
<td>746,212</td>
<td>51876</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongkong</td>
<td>120/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MOH: 1374 serological sample collected from occupational groups in Henan Province, all results negative. 500 samples collected from Jiangxi, with all negative.

As for 44 million farming households, there have been no reported clinical cases.

This result fully demonstrates that the risk of H7N9 is low in poultry farms.
None of the chickens showed clinical signs or died during the period of ten days, therefore, these viruses are non-pathogenic to chickens.
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3.6 Pathological test in SPF chickens (2)

- Viruses isolated from chicken show no pathologic to ducks and mammals.
- Viruses isolated from human show higher pathologic to mammals than those isolated from poultry.
- H7N9 virus can transmit among chicken horizontally, but low transmission among ducks.
Conclusions

1. H7N9 influenza virus is low pathogenic to chickens, with IVPI=0.
2. H7N9 viruses were only found in chicken, duck and pigeon, not in pig or other animals.
3. Limited range of infection: all positive animals were found in live bird markets.
4. Surveillance results showed no virologically positive samples found in farms.
Thank you for your attention!