The primary measures to deal with high pathogenicity avian influenza (HPAI) have been biosecurity to prevent introduction, early and accurate diagnosis, adequate notification, and “stamping-out” of poultry on affected farms to eliminate the virus. However, vaccines and vaccination have emerged during the past two decades as an essential tool for controlling AI in poultry in some countries, especially in countries with restricted financial and human resources.

Given the high exposure of poultry to HPAI circulating in wild birds in recent years, the question regarding use of vaccination to prevent HPAI in poultry has gained interest (for example in free range/organic chicken and duck/geese production in developed countries). However, at this moment use of vaccines against H5 and H7 HPAI may have unintended negative consequences on trade in genetic stocks and poultry products.

Under optimal conditions, vaccination will increase resistance to infection, prevent illness and death, reduce virus replication and shedding from respiratory and alimentary tracts, and reduce virus transmission to birds and mammals, including humans. Vaccination as practiced in some developing countries with endemic HPAI allows continued food security in resource scarce situations, especially continued production of low cost high quality animal protein for human consumption at the village and household level. However, HPAI vaccination has been associated with complacency for implementation and maintenance of adequate surveillance and biosecurity processes and has slowed the overall momentum to move to an eradication strategy. Moreover, as H5N1 and related viruses have become entrenched and outbreaks prolonged, field outbreaks have been reported in flocks that are well vaccinated with early classical H5 AI vaccines in Central America, China, Egypt, Hong Kong, Indonesia and Vietnam. These failures have been the result of failure of the vaccines (i.e. vaccine efficacy) or failure of administration to produce an immune response of the target species (i.e. vaccination effectiveness).

It is important to bear in mind that the success of a vaccination programme not only depends on technically sound and effective elements, a consistently proper execution is equally important. This implies that all persons involved should act according to the plan in a consistent way.

CONSIDERATIONS AND ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS

The intended purpose for vaccine usage includes:

1. prevention of disease and/or infection
2. emergency management to reduce spread during an outbreak
3. maintaining food security in endemically infected areas
The essential components of a vaccination programme for prevention and emergency management are:

1. A national or regional, emergency vaccine bank;
2. Manufacture and use of only high quality (high potency) vaccines to produce a robust immune response; the vaccine must be capable of preventing infection, prevent shedding upon infection, or sufficiently reduce transmission between birds ($R_v<1$);
3. The quality of the vaccine as mentioned above must have been (laboratory) tested in birds vaccinated under field conditions;
4. Antigenically relevant vaccine seed strains should be used that closely match the field virus (based on antigenetic cartography); this should be kept under continuous review against a changing field virus;
5. Vaccination should be applied in a targeted or sector specific programme and not in nationwide or ring vaccination;
6. Vaccines should be administered in an adequate way;
7. Give individual birds adequate number of vaccinations over lifetime, usually minimum of two vaccinations, possibly more in long-lived layers and breeders;
8. In case of the inactivated vaccines at least 80% of the poultry flock should have a protective hemagglutination inhibition antibody titres, this should be checked regularly and give booster inactivated vaccination if less than 80% of the chickens do not meet the minimum titre; effective vaccination coverage (>80%) by recombinant vector vaccines should also be checked (method yet to be developed);
9. Survey the vaccinated populations to find infected birds by virological and / or serological tests in a comprehensive Differentiating Infected from Vaccinated Animals (DIVA) strategy;
10. Have a good biosecurity programme in place to reduce HPAI virus exposure onto the premises and prevent spread off premises should infections occur;
11. Continually review the programme for its effectiveness, having set clear objectives at the onset; and
12. Have an exit strategy.

Poultry farms/chains in endemically infected countries that do not yet have the infrastructure and/or resources can also apply the above mentioned elements for the purpose of HPAI management.

---

1 Vaccine Efficacy. Low antigenic mass in H5 AI vaccines is a less common problem today than it was 10 years ago. The marketplace has demanded high potency vaccines and most manufacturers have provided such to stay in business. However, in some regions, antigenic drift of the field viruses has occurred such that older classic H5 vaccine seed strains have lost efficacy and continual evaluation and match of vaccine seed strains against field viruses is needed to maintain relevant protective vaccine seed strains.

2 Vaccination Effectiveness. Lack of adequate protection in the poultry population in the field has been associated with a variety of application and related issues including: attempting to vaccinate all poultry in the national flock, improper vaccination technique; trying to get field protection from a single vaccination; maternal and active immunity interference; immunosuppressive population; improper storage & handling of vaccines; administration of reduced vaccine dose; high environmental exposure to virus; farmer resistance to vaccination of domestic ducks; high population turnover rate in poultry; logistics problems with administration; and vaccination “burn-out.” For example, attempts to vaccinate village poultry in Indonesia and Egypt have only resulted in 20-40% and 20% vaccine coverage rate, respectively.

3 In a vaccinated population an infected bird should infect < 1 other bird.
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